# THE INTERDEPENDENCE OF CROP - LIVESTOCK PRODUCTION SECTORS: THE CASE OF SINANA DINSHO DISTRICT IN BALE HIGHLANDS OF ETHIOPIA

BOGALE S., SOLOMON MELAKU S., YAMI A.

#### Abstract

The study was conducted in Sinana Dinsho district of Bale highlands, southeast Ethiopia to assess the relationship between livestock and crop sectors in the farming systems. The integration of crop and livestock sectors was stronger in the Sinana sub district, whereby oxen holding had significant effect (P < 0.05) on crop production. The size of cultivated land increased (P < 0.05) with the number of oxen owned per household. In Dinsho sub district, cattle in general and oxen holding in particular did not significantly affect (P > 0.05) both cultivated land area and crop production suggesting relatively weak crop-livestock integration. In general, draught power and crop residues are the main linking elements in integrating crop livestock sectors, particularly in Sinana sub district of Bale highlands. Due to differences in climate and type of crops grown, mainly livestock production contributed to the livelihood of farmers in Dinsho sub district, whereas farmers in the Sinana sub district earned their livelihood mainly from crop cultivation.

Key words: Bale highlands, crop residues, draught power, mixed crop livestock system

#### INTRODUCTION

The highlands of Et hiopia a re cha racterized by croplivestock mixed farming systems. Nearly 90 percent of the to tal human and 70 p ercent of the liv estock population of t he co untry i nhabit t he hi ghlands (Mohamed-Saleem and Abate Tedla, 1995). The croplivestock m ixed farming s ystems devel oped a s a consequence of the beneficial effects re sulting from inter-relationships and complementarities between crop and livestock production. Livestock production ensures the av ailability o f food and in come to the farm ing community throughout the year. Besid es, liv estock are sources of agricultural inputs such as draught power and organic fertili zer as a d irect contribution to crop farming, while the contribution of the crop sector is through the supply of feed in the form of crop residues. Hence, the role of livestock is significant in this farming system (Po well and Williams, 1 993; Peters, 199 9; Agajie, 2002; Getachew, 2002).

The m ixed crop -livestock farming system s in the highlands are characterized with a much higher degree of crop-livestock integration, grazing land being limited to areas not suitable for crop c ultivation because of water logging or frost exposure, high cropping intensity and a vailability of a large amount of c rop by-products as livestock feed (Jahnke, 1982; Gryseels, 1988). Crop and livesto ck can be co mpetitive, supp lementary o r complementary with respect to production factors and the total output (Jahnke, 1982; McIntire and Gryseels, 1987; McIntire et al., 1992). According to Getache w et al. (1993), crop and livestock s ub sectors in t he highlands of Eth iopia ar e m ore o f co mplementary to each other, and thus highly integrated.

However, the highlands are a complex zone with a wide diversity in ag roclimatology wh ere considerable

differences in settle ment a nd land u se o ccur. Jahnke (1982) reported that som e differences in land use also reflect the impact of a differential historical and cultural background. Knowledge of the in teractions and the linking elements of the crop livest ock sectors in the highlands a reuse ful for planning sustainable a gricultural development. The objectives of this study were, therefore, to assess the relationship between livestock and crop sectors in the mixed farming systems in Sinana Dinsho district of Bale highlands, Ethiopia.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

## The study area

The study was conducted in Sinana Dinsho district of Bale hi ghlands, S outheastern Et hiopia. Dinsho s ub district has a mild sub-tropical hi ghland c limate with annual mean minim um and maximum te mperature of 2°C and 20°C, respectively (Williams, 2002). Temperature tends to be more severe with a high probability of frosts during the night time particularly at the higher altitudes of Dinsho. Temperature ranges between 9.4°C and 21.2°C in Sinana sub district and has a bi modal rainfall with the main rainy season from August — December and the short rainy season from March—July (SARC, 2001). The dry season in Sinana sub district is from December—March. The precipitation during the main rainy season ranges from 270–560 mm and that of the short rainy season is from 250–560 mm.

On the other hand, the rainfall pattern of Din sho sub district is monomodal with the rainy season occurring from late March—October, with the greater bulk of the rainfalling in April and then August—October (Williams, 2002). The rainy season in Din sho sub district is followed by a four-month dry season—from

November to February. According to Williams (2002), the lower altitudes of the Dinsho sub district receive between 600–1000 mm of rainfall annually, whereas the higher altitude areas receive up to 1 200 mm annually.

## Sampling techniques

A stratified m ultistage sam pling tech nique was employed to s elect the sam pling unit. Si nana Dinsho district was first stratified in to two 'recommendation domains' base don't he rai nfall pat tern and c ropping system (ICRA, 2001). A total of 195 households were randomly selected b ased on the proportion of the number of households owning livestock and the size of the stratified areas. Hence, 131 and 64 households were considered for the study in Si nana and Dinsho's ub districts, respectively.

### Household characteristics and livestock survey

Informal interviews were c onducted in t he district involving representatives from the different segments of the community and agricultural extension agents. Then, a semi-structured questionnaire was developed and pretested with few farm ers to collect in formation on household size, farm size, cultivated land, grain production and land-use patterns. Information was also collected on herd size, livestock species composition and purpose of livestock production. Livestock population was converted into Tropical Livestock Units (TLU) using conversion factors (Gryseels, 1988).

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics was e mployed to describe various variables in the farm ing syste m. Co rrelation an alysis was run to test the relationships between household size, land (cultivated and grazing land) holding, crop produced and herd size. Step-wise linear regression analysis was also run for factors a ffecting herd size, cultivated area and grain production.

Normality and ho mogeneity test p rocedures were performed prior to analysis to examine the frequency distributions of the data, which showed some skewness regarding herd si ze and crop production. Therefore, square root and 1 og t ransformations were made for herd si ze and crop production, respectively. Test of significance was per formed on the transfor med data and means were re-transformed back. The data were analyzed statistically using the General Li near Model (GLM) procedures in Minitab Software, Version 12.0 (Minitab, 1998). The means which showed significant differences at the probability level of P < 0.05 were compared with each other using the Tukey pair-wise

comparison procedures. Data collected in the study were analyzed using the following statistical models.

a) 
$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + F_i + C_j + G_k + e_{ijk}$$

where:  $Y_{ijk}$  = herd size per household,  $\mu$  = overall mean,  $F_i$  = the effect of  $i^{th}$  household size, Cj = the effect of  $j^{th}$  cultivated area,  $G_k$ = the effect of  $k^{th}$  grazing area, $e_{ijk}$ = random error

b) 
$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + F_i + M_j + C_k + S_l + e_{ijkl}$$

where:  $Y_{ijkl}$  = cultivated area per household,  $\mu$  = overall mean,  $F_i$  = the effect of  $i^{th}$  household size,  $M_j$  = the effect of  $j^{th}$  farm size,  $C_k$  = the effect of  $k^{th}$  cattle holding,  $S_l$  = the effect of  $l^{th}$  small ruminant holding,  $e_{ijkl}$  = random error

c) 
$$Y_{ijkl} = \mu + F_i + C_j + O_k + Z_l + e_{ijkl}$$

where:  $Y_{ijkl}$  = total crop production per household,  $\mu$ = overall mean,  $F_i$ = the effect of  $i^{th}$  household size,  $C_j$ = the effect of  $j^{th}$  cultivated area,  $O_k$ = the effect of  $k^{th}$  oxen holding,  $Z_l$ = the effect of  $l^{th}$  fertilizer amount,  $E_{ijkl}$ = random error

### **RESULTS**

## The effect of household size, area of cultivated and grazing land on herd size

Area of cultivated land had significant effect (P < 0.001) on herd size andd hou seholds with more than four hectares of cultivated land area had significantly larger (P < 0.05) herd si ze i n Si nana (Ta ble 1) sub district. Herd size reared in Sinana was not significantly affected (P > 0.05) eit her by fam ily size or by area of pastureland, although there was a tendency of increase in herd size with increase in household size and area of private grazing land. Herd size was positively correlated with area of cu ltivated lan d (P < 0.001, r = 0.57), household size (P < 0.001, r = 0.33) and area of private grazing land (P < 0.001, r = 38) in Sinana sub district (Table 4). In the regression analysis, the contribution of cultivated land to r<sup>2</sup> (co efficient of determination) was observed to be 32 %, while that of area of pri vate pastureland and family size were very small. This shows the greater variation in herd size was due to the area of cultivated land.

Household size significantly affected (P < 0.05) herd size in Di nsho sub di strict and t he correl ation bet ween herd size and househol d size was significant (P < 0.05, r = 0.43) (Table 5). However, her d size was not significantly correlated (P > 0.05) with both area of cultivated and private grazing land. In the regression analysis, the contribution of household size to  $r^2$  (coefficient of determination) was significant (P < 0.001) with  $r^2$  value of more than 17%.

Variance	Sinana Di			nsho			
	N LSM		SE	N	LSM	SE	
Overall 13	1	7.73	0.18	64	8.31	0.31	
Household size (N)		ns			*		
≤ 5	25 6.	$75^{a}$ 0.	008	11	$4.17^{c}$ 0.	03	
6–10 8	1	7.61 <sup>a</sup>	0.003	29	8.61 <sup>ab</sup> 0.	01	
> 10	25	$8.29^{a}$ 0.	008	24	$12.08^{a}$ 0.	01	
Cultivated area (ha)		***			NS		
< 2.01	16	6.03 <sup>b</sup> 0.	01	35	7.68 <sup>a</sup> 0.	01	
2.01-4 5	8	$7.08^{b} 0.$	004	22	$8.43^{a}$ 0.	02	
> 4	57	9.91 <sup>a</sup>	0.004	7	8.91 <sup>a</sup> 0.	05	

Tab. 1: Least- square means of factors affecting herd size in Sinana Dinsho district

46

13

ns

 $6.84^{a}$  0.

7.42<sup>a</sup> 0.

8.39<sup>a</sup> 0.

003

004

23

21

20

## The effect of household resources area of cultivated land

Grazing area (ha)

None 72

> 0.51

0.01 - 0.51

Size of l and holding significantly influenced (P < 0.01) cultivated area in Sin ana and Dinsho su b districts (Table 2). C ultivated area per hou sehold significantly increased (P < 0.05) as farm size changed from two and half to five hectares. Herd size of cattle reared in Sinana had also exerted a significant effect (P < 0.05) on are a of cultivated land, where hou seholds p ossessing more

than ten TLU cu ltivated more land. Area of cultivated land was positively correlated with farm size (P < 0.001, r = 0.96), household size (P < 0.001. r = 0.25), livestock herd si ze (P < 0.001, r = 0.57) a nd sm all rum inant holding (P < 0.001, r = 0.23) in Sinana sub district. The regression analysis for fact ors affecting cul tivated land in Si nana sub di strict per household showed that I and holding was the most important factor accounting for the variation in the area of cultivated land with  $r^2$  value of more than 92%.

ns

 $7.78^{a}$  0.

 $8.76^a$  0.

8.94a 0.

02

02

02

Tab. 2: Least-square means of factors affecting cultivated area in Sinana Dinsho district

Variation	Sinana Di				nsho			
Variation	N LSM	SE N			LSM	SE		
Overall 13	1	3.82	1.22	64	2.16	0.78		
Household size (N)		ns			ns			
≤ 5	25	$3.86^{a}$ 0.	05	11	$1.98^{a}$ 0.	08		
6–10	81	$3.8^{a}$ 0.	04	29	2.41 <sup>a</sup> 0.	03		
> 10	25	$3.8^{a}$ 0.	05	24	$2.09^{a}$ 0.	04		
Land holding (ha)		***			***			
< 2.51	19	1.94 <sup>c</sup>	0.07 27		$1.10^{\circ} 0.$	03		
2.51-5	60	3.45 <sup>b</sup> 0.	03	24 1.	99 <sup>b</sup> 0.	04		
> 5	52	$6.08^{a}$ 0.	03	13	$3.40^{a} 0.$	07		
Cattle holding (TLU)		*			ns			
< 5.01	39	$3.39^{b}$ 0.	04	25	$1.96^{a}$ 0.	03		
5.01–10	71	3.68 <sup>ab</sup> 0.	02	24	$2.47^{a}$ 0.	04		
> 10	21	4.41 <sup>a</sup> 0.	07	15	$2.06^{a}$ 0.	06		
Small ruminant holding (TLU)		ns			ns			
< 0.51	80	$3.77^{a}$ 0.	02	15	$1.88^{a}$ 0.	04		
0.51–1	32	$3.87^{a}$ 0.	04	18	$2.47^{a}$ 0.	04		
> 1	19	$3.84^{a}$ 0.	07	31	$2.14^{a}$ 0.	04		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ab</sup> means with different superscript (a, b, c) within a column are significantly different (P < 0.05); N = number of respondents; LSM = least square means; SE = standard error; NS = not significant; \* = P < 0.05; \*\* = P < 0.01; \*\*\* = P < 0.001

abc means with different superscripts (a, b, c) within a column are significantly different (P < 0.05); LSM = re-transformed least square means; N = number of respondents; SE = standard error; NS = not significant; \* = P < 0.05; \*\* = P < 0.01; \*\*\* = P < 0.001

Land holding significantly influenced (P < 0.05) area of cultivated land in Dinsho sub district (Table 2), and the latter was positively correlated with land holding (P P < 0.001, r = 0.82) but the relationship was weak with herd si ze (P > 0.05, r = 0.05) and sm all rum inant holding (P > 0.05, r = 0.1). Regression analysis showed that size of land holding in Dinsho sub district was the most important fact or contributing a bout 66% of the variation in cultivated area per household.

## The effect of household resources on crop production

Both area of cu ltivated land and oxen holding significantly affected (P < 0.01) grain yield in Si nana sub district (Table 3).

Grain yield was si gnificantly h igher (P < 0.05) for households possessing more to han four hect area of cultivated land and t wo pairs of oxen. As expected, t he regression analyses for factors affecting grain production showed that the difference in cultivated area is the most useful factor in explaining the variation in crop production in Sinana sub district. Hence, the contribution of area of cultivated land to the to tal r<sup>2</sup> was about 45%. B household si ze and am ount of fertili zer applied did no t increase grain production significantly (P > 0.05). Grain production per househol d i n Di nsho sub district was independent of household size, area of cultivated land, oxen holding and amount of fertili zer applied (Table 3). However, in r egression analysis the v ariation in crop production p er househol d du e to cu ltivated l and w as significant (P < 0.05), but the cont ribution of area of cultivated land to r<sup>2</sup> was very small with value of 9%.

Tab. 3: Least- square means of factors affecting grain production in Sinana Dinsho district

Variance		Sinana Di			nsho			
Variance	N LSM	N LSM		N	LSM	SE		
Overall	131	57.31	0.24	64	22.81	0.49		
Household size (N)		ns			ns			
≤ 5	25 56	$.65^{a}$ 0.	01	11	$20.29^{a}$ 0.	09		
6-10 8	1	56.89 <sup>a</sup> 0.	003	29	$23.75^{a}$ 0.	04		
> 10	25	58.40 <sup>a</sup> 0.	01	24	24.41 <sup>a</sup> 0.	05		
Cultivated area (ha)		***			NS			
< 2.01	16	41.28° 0.	01	35	21.58 <sup>a</sup>	0.03		
2.01-4 5	8	60.09 <sup>b</sup> 0.	005	22	22.88 <sup>a</sup>	0.04		
> 4	57	81.25 <sup>a</sup> 0.	01	7	$23.98^{a}$ 0.	20		
Oxen holding (N)		**			ns			
< 2.1	48	41.22 <sup>b</sup> 0.	006	56	$20.48^{a}$ 0.	03		
2.1-4 63		50.19 <sup>b</sup> 0	.004	6	$24.76^{a}$ 0.	11		
> 4	20	80.66° 0.	01	2	$23.19^{a}$ 0.	29		
Fertilizer amount (q)		ns			ns			
< 1.01	59	52.70° 0.	005	54	22.71 <sup>a</sup> 0.	03		
> 1.01	72	61.81 <sup>a</sup> 0.	004	10	$22.89^{a}$ 0.	08		

abc means with different superscript (a, b, c) within a column are significantly different (P < 0.05); LSM = Least square means are re-transformed; N = number of respondents; SE = standard error; NS = not significant; \* = P < 0.05; \*\* = P < 0.01; \*\*\* = P < 0.001

## Relationships between various household resources

Household size was positively correlated with oxen holding (P < 0.05, r = 21), flock size of small ruminants reared (P < 0.05, r = 0.21, and equine holding (P < 0.01, r = 0.30) in Sinanas ub district. However, area of privately owned pastureland was not significantly related (P > 0.05) with family size in the same area. In Dinsho sub district, the same holds true but the involvement of households on livestock production was higher than that in Sinana sub district. Hence, family size was not significantly correlated with area of private grazing land (P > 0.05, r = 0.24) in Dinsho sub district, while it was positively correlated with oxen holding (P < 0.001, r = 47), flock size of

small rum inants reared (P < 0.05, r = 0.31) and equine holding (P < 0.01, r = 0.38).

Both overall farm size and area of cu ltivated land were directly and si gnificantly cor related with overall he rd size as well as with oxen, small ruminants and equines possessed by households in Sinana sub district. Equines holding was positively correlated with 1 and holding (P < 0.001, r = 0.47), area of cultivated land (P < 0.001, r = 0.046), area of grazing land (P < 0.001, herd size (P < 0.001, r = 0.68) oxen holding (P < 0.001, r = 0.54) and small ru minant reare d (P < 0.001, r = 0. 39) i n Sinana sub district. Ho wever, equine holding was not significantly correlated (P > 0.05) with farm size (r = 0.22), area of cultivated land (r = 0.14) and private pastureland (r = 0.11) in Dinsho sub district. Number of

equines reared by the households in Dinsho was highly positively correlated with herd size (P < 0.001, r = 0.87) oxen holding (P < 0.001, r = 0.73) and small ruminants reared (P < 0.001, r = 0.67) as compared to that of Sinana sub district. Oxen and small ruminants holding

was n ot signi ficantly correl ated (P > 0.05) with fa rm size in Din sho sub d istrict, while it was positively correlated (P < 0.001) in Sinana sub district. In general, the relationship between farm size and livestock holding was weak in Dinsho sub district.

Tab. 4: Correlation between various household resources in Sinana sub district of Bale highlands

	Family size	Land holding (ha)	Cultivated land (ha)	Grazing land (ha)	Herd size (TLU)	Oxen (TLU)	Small ruminants (TLU)
Family size	1.00						
Land holding (ha)	0.27**	1.00					
Cultivated land (ha)	0.25***	0.96***	1.00				
Grazing land (ha)	0.09	0.48***	0.33***	1.00			
Herd size (TLU)	0.33*** 0	.57*** 0	.57***	0.38*** 1	.00		
Oxen (TLU)	0.21*	0.51***	0.53***	0.21*	0.79***	1.00	
Small ruminants (TLU)	0.21*	0.25**	0.23**	0.11	0.46***	0.45***	1.00
Equines (TLU)	0.30**	0.47*** 0	.46***	0.32*** 0	.68***	0.54*** 0	.39***

TLU = tropical livestock unit; ha = hectare; \* = P < 0.05; \*\* = P < 0.01; \*\*\* = P < 0.001

#### DISCUSSION

### Herd size

The increase in herd size with the area of cultivated land in Si nana s ub di strict sugg ests t hat hous eholds wi th more area of cultivated land co uld produce more crop residues for livestock feeding. Positive correlation between herd size and cultivated land in Sin ana sub district also indicates that livestock in turn contribute to increased cultivated area through provision of draught power and supply of agricultural inputs through purchase of agricultural inputs probably from cash generated through the sales of livestock and/or their products. This finding is in agreement with Abd inasir Ibrahim (2000), who observed significant effect of cultivated area on herd size in the highlands of Arsi, Central Ethiopia.

Non significant effect (P > 0.05) of fa mily size and area of grazing land on herd size in Sinana sub district may be due to the hi ring of l abour for livestock herding and cooperative livestock her ding sy stems pract iced (Solomon, 2004). However, positive correlation of herd size with fa mily size and area of grazing land indicates that with the availability of family labor and grazing land, there is a tendency to increase livestock size. Herd si ze was observed to increase as househol d si ze ri ses that reflects f amily labor is essen tial fo r liv estock management in Dinsho sub district (Solomon, 2004). The result of the study is similar to the reports of Niftalem and Peters (1999), who observed household size as the most important fac tor that affected herd size in the Deneba – Inwari areas, C entral Hi ghlands of Et hiopia. The nonsignificant effect of cultivated area on herd size in Dinsho sub di strict showed t he i nterdependence between crop and livestock sub- sector to be weak.

In the study area, size of livestock herd kept by the farmers was independent of area of private grazing land. In contrast, herd size tended to increase with the availability of grazing area in Arsi (Abd inasir, 2000) and other parts of the Central Highlands of Ethiopia (Gryseels, 1988; Niftalem and Peters, 1999). The reason for such differences with the other studies could be attributed to the communal ownership of a large proportion of the grazing areas in Bale highlands that minimized the contribution of a rea of private grazing land to feed resource base (Solomon, 2004).

## Area of cultivated land

The increase in farm s ize pos itively inf luenced size of cultivated are a, wh ereby lan d p ut un der cu ltivation increased progressively as farm size increased from two and h alf to five h ectares. This trend is in line with the reports of Ni ftalem and Peters (1999 ), and Ab dinasir (2000), who found significant effect of farm size on area of cultivated land. The relationship between land holding and area of cultivated land may imply that the size of land holding per household is not beyond the cultivation needs of the household, where farm ers with larger farm size have an opportunity to cultivate more land.

Herd size of cattle reared and area of cultivated land in Sinana sub district were positively correlated, probably this is asso ciated with the better in tegration of crop-livestock production in the area, whereby income from crop pr oduction may have been in vested on livestock production, which in turn can lead to the in crease in area of cultivated land. Non-significant effect (P > 0.05) of hou sehold size on area of cultivated land dper household in Sinana sub district may be due to the fact that land is allo cated to household units rather than to household members.

Overall land holding determined area of cu ltivated land per household in Dinsho sub district. This reflects the fact that households with extra plot of land can put more land under cultivation. However, independent effect of family size, cattle and small ruminant holding on area of cultivated land in Dinsho sub district is probably due to less in vestment on cultivation. In other words, in come generated from livestock sector may go to the purchase of grains rather than to invest directly on cultivation of crops.

## **Crop production**

Both sizes of cultivated area and oxen holding increased grain yield in Sinana sub district. This is logical since the in crease in area o f cu ltivated lan d lead s to concomitant increase in grain production. A significant effect of oxen holding on area of cultivated land shows the contribution of oxen through supply of draught power to c rop pr oduction in Si nana su b district. This result is agrees with that of Abd inasir (2000), who found increased crop production in response to size of oxen holding in the Arsi highlands, Central Ethiopia. Household size and grain production were independent of eac h other in Sinana sub di strict due t o t he availability and use of im proved ag ricultural tech nologies such as herbicides and combine harvesters that substitute manual lab our. Si milarly, rate of fertilizer applied had no significant effect (P > 0.05) on grain production, probably b ecause crop cu ltivation is a relatively recent farming activity in the area and the soil may n ot h ave lo st its inh erent fertility c ompared t o elsewhere in the Ethi opian highlands (B ekele et al., 1998; I CRA, 2001). A ccording to So lomon (200 4), farmers in the h ighlands of Bale ap plied fertilizer mostly on a less fertile soil, and thus blanket application of fertilizers on all cultivated land was not practiced. Grain pr oduction per h ousehold was independent of family si ze i n Di nsho su b district i ndicating t hat t he involvement of family labor on crop production was not important. Similarly, oxen holding were observed to have non significant effect (P > 0.05) on the amount of grain produced, showing that crop cultivation is not as important as I ivestock production. Grain produced per household was al so u naffected by t he amount of fertilizer applied, probably due to the practice of fallow, which is a common in Dinsho sub district (Bekele et al., 1998). The higher livestock herd size per household in Dinsho c ompared t o Sinana sub district pr oves t he importance of livestock rea ring as a m ajor source of livelihoods in the former subdistrict, whereas in the latter sub district, crop cultivation is a major source of livelihoods f or t he farmers (Solomon, 2 004). The tendency toward s sp ecialization i n liv estock or crop production in the two sub districts could be attributed to variations in t he clim atic andd topo graphy, which are relatively unfavorable for crop production in Dinsho sub district.

### **CONCLUSIONS**

The in tegration of crop and live stock sector was stronger in S inana's ub district, whe reby the oxen holding had significant effect on crop production via the supply of d raught power to the production system. Households that owned more than two pairs of oxen cultivated more area of land. In Dinsho sub district, however, the integration of crop and livestock production was relatively weak due to less dependence on crop production for their livelihood as a result of limitations imposed by climate to cultivate a diversity of crops. Draught power and crop residues are the main linking elements between livestock and crop production, particularly in Sinana sub district of Bale highlands.

## Acknowledgments

The first author is grateful to the financial support given him by Ethiopian Institute of Agricultural Research to conduct the project and the second author is grateful to Haramaya Unversity for granting leave of absence and the German Academic Exchange Service for financial support while preparing the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

ABDINASIR I. (2000): Smallholder Dairy Production and Dairy Tech nology Adoption in the Mix ed Far ming System in Arsi Hig hland, Eth iopia. Ph.D. Thesis, Humboldt University, Berlin, Germany.

AGAJIE T., CHILOT Y, MENGISTU A., ELIAS Z., YOHANNES A. (20 02): Sm allholder l ivestock production sy stems and const raints in the highlands of North and West Shewa zones. In: Proceedings of the 9<sup>th</sup> A nnual C onference of the Et hiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) held in Addis Aba ba, Ethiopia, August 30–31, 2001, pp. 49–72.

BEKELE H., WORKU J., FEYISA T., MULUGETA A., MENGISTU Y., ARFASA KIROS (1998): The D insho mixed farming systems of B ale highlands. In: Chilot Y., Fe kadu A. and Woldeyesus S. (e ds.): B arley Based Farming Systems in the Highlands of Ethiopia. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. pp. 101–106.

GETACHEW A., ZERBINI E., ABATE TEDLA (1993): Croplivestock in teraction and i mplications for an imal traction r esearch in the E thiopian highlands. In: Proceedings of the 4 th National Li vestock Improvement C onference (NLIC) Held in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 13–15 November 1991, pp. 29–36.

GETACHEW E. (2002): An Assessment of Fee d Resources, Their M anagement and Impact on Li vestock Productivity in the Ginchi Watershed Area. M. Sc. Thesis, Alemaya University of agricul ture, Alemaya.

GRYSEELS G. (1988): R ole of Li vestock on a M ixed Smallholder Farms in the Woredas ne ar Debre

- Berhan. Ph. D. Th. esis, Agricultural Un. iversity, Wageningen, Netherlands.
- ICRA (In ternational Cen ter for Development Orien ted Research in A griculture) (2001). The shift to Cereal Mono-cropping, a Thr eat or a Blessing? Toward Sustainable A gricultural P roduction in the Wheatbarley Farming System in the Highlands of Southeast Oromia, Et hiopia. IC RA–2001 Et hiopian Team, Working Document Series 92, Ethiopia.
- JAHNKE H.E. (1982): Li vestock Productions and Li vestock Development in Tropical Africa. Kieler Wissenschafts Verlag Vauk, Kiel, West Germany
- MCINTIRE J., BOUZART D., PINGALI P. (19 92): Cr oplivestock In teraction in su b-Saharan Africa. World Bank, Washington, D.C., USA.
- MCINTIRE J., GRYSEELS G. (1987): Crop-livestock interaction in sub-Saharan Africa and their implication for farming research. Experimental Agric., 23: 235–243.
- Minitab (199 8). Min itab User's Guide: Data An alysis and Quality To ols, Release 1 2 for Windows, Windows 95 and Windows NT. USA.
- MOHAMED-SALEEM M.A., ABATE TEDLA (1995): Fee d improvement to supp ort in tensification of ruminant production sy stems in the Ethiopian hi ghlands. In: Proceedings of the 3 rd Annual Conference of the Ethiopian Society of Animal Production (ESAP) Held in Ad dis Ababa, Ethiopia, 27–29 April 1995; pp. 296–306.

- NIFTALEM DIBBISA, PETERS K.J. (1 999): Liv estock species composition and herd size in relation to household farm resources in mixed farming systems in the Ethiopian highlands. Ou tlook on Agriculture, 28: 51–54.
- PETERS K.J. (1999): Liv estock production and f ood security: consequences for the environment? Agriculture and Rural Development, 6: 43–47.
- Powell J.M., Williams T.O. (1993): An overview of mixed farming in sub-Saharan A frica. In: Livestock and S ustainable N utrient Cycling in M ixed Farming Systems of Sub-Saharan Africa. Volume II. Technical Papers. Pr oceedings of an international conference held in Addis Aba ba, Et hiopia, 2 2–2 6 No vember 1993. ILCA Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- SARC (Sinana A gricultural Re search Ce nter). 2001. Profile of Si nana A gricultural Research Center. Oromia Ag ricultural Research Institu te. Bu lletin No . 1, November.
- SOLOMON BOGALE (2004): Asse ssment of Livest ock Production System and Feed Resource Base in Sinana Dinsho District of Bale Highlands, Southeast Oromia. M. Sc. Thesis, Alemaya University, Alemaya.
- WILLIAMS S. (2002): Bale Mo untains: a gu idebook. EWCP, Addis Ababa.

Received for publication on February 2, 2007 Accepted for publication on February 4, 2009

Corresponding author:

## Solomon Bogale

Sinana Agricultural Research Center, PO Box 208 Bale Robe Ethiopia e-mail: solmelay@yahoo.com